

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MAURICIO GONZALES,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:13CR28

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Mauricio Gonzales (Gonzales) (Filing No. 71). Gonzales seeks an additional time in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Gonzales' counsel represents that Gonzales will file an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted. Gonzales shall file the affidavit set forth above on or before September 20, 2013.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant Gonzales' motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 71) is granted. Gonzales is given until **on or before September 30, 2013**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **September 16, 2013, and September 30, 2013**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 16th day of September, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge